Approved:For Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R0004004800

MEMORANDUM FOR:

in. Domes

copy of this was sent to DD/P. said he would deal directly with

Mr. Braden on it.

Hote from

11 October 1956 (DATE)

proved For Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R0004004800

4 NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 .UG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

ER-8-4543/a

COMMENTAL

Mr. Thomas W. Braden Daily Blade-Tribune Oceanside, California

Dear Ton:

Many thanks for sending me the clipping and the UP dispatch with your note of July 9.

When Joan was in Washington recently. I mentioned to her that your editorial was quoted extensively in the "Daily Worker". I am enclosing the clipping from the July 4 edition which, I'll wager, represents unexpected publicity for your idea.

Peithfully,

Allen W. Dulles Director

Enclosure

0/DCI/E (14 July 56) Orig - Addressee 1 - DCI chrono ER (W/basic) Reading

ORIGINAL CLBY 23 59 79 DECL PREVWON 2011 EXT BYND 6 YRS BY REASON__

Approved For F Pase 2002/02/13 : GIA-RDP80R01731P000400480003-7

DAILY BLADE-TRIBUNE

Executive Fagistry
8-4543

OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA

Thomas W. Braden, Publisher

July 9, 1956

Control of the second

Dear Allen:

Enclosed is a copy of an editorial and a UP wire. This thing has gotten quite a play here on the West Coast, with all the radio stations booming it.

I send it along as something you might be interested in and to show you I am still interested in organizations.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Braden

Enclosures

Honorable Allen W. Dulles Director of Central Intelligence 2430 E Street Washington, D. C.

ORIGINAL GLEY 23 59 79

DECL XCHYYCH 2011

EXT BYRD 3 YAS TY Same

REASON 3 d (3)

Blade-Tribune

THOMAS W. BRADEN, EDITOR-PUBLISHE
EDWARD S. RIDLEY, Business Manager
STEPHEN F. UNDERWOOD, Advertising Mgr.
CHARLES HUBBS, City Editor GERALD J. BROWN, Managing Editor BURT E. HOBBS, Circulation Mgr. JOHN S. RONSSE, Mechanical Supt.

OCEANSIDE, CALIF. — PH. SA 2-8225

Entered at the Oceanside Postoffice as 2nd Class Matter under the act of Congress March, 1879.

Published daily except Saturday, Sunday and certain holidays.

	Published daily except Satu	uky, outras
Month	Mail — Payable in advance — Single copies 10c \$ 1.2	

6 — An Independent Newspaper

Monday, July 2, 1956

Russia Vs. U.S.—In Peace

Some 35,000 people —there were 70,000 empty seats—watched the nation's top track and field athletes on each of two days compete for the U.S. Olympic team at the Los Angeles Memorial Coliseum. We'll bet that at some time during that two-day event most of the spectators and all of the athletes wondered how they would stack up against Russia.

It's the Russians that the U.S. will have to beat in the 1956 games at Melbourne. And Russian athletes know that the team they'll have to beat in order to top the Games will be the team from the United States.

We noted in our program that the U.S. team will be competing in southern California meets between the time of its assembly at Los Angeles approximately Oct. 15 and its departure for Melbourne on Nov. 5. It occurs to us that the greatest dual meet of all time might be staged at the Coliseum during that 21-day period. It would be a dual meet that would give our team the kind of training it will need for the Olympics. It might even lend a hand to our foreign policy. Why doesn't the Olympic Committee invite the Russians to fly here for a dual meet en route to Australia?

According to our World Almanac, the Russians would have to fly only 6,000 additional miles to cover the distance from Moscow to

Melbourne via Los Angeles. In this day of 400 miles per hour, that would mean only 15 hours additional flying time. The United States could pay the extra Russian expenses thus encountered out of Coliseum gate receipts and still be money ahead.

As for foreign policy, we think such an invitation would mean far more to the average man in this world than the Russians' recent invitation to General Twining. A friendly competition between Russia and the United States on the athletic field is the kind of contest between the two nations the whole world would enjoy.

Suppose the Russians win? Well, suppose you're a Russian. Then suppose the Americans win? The loser would have the consolation of knowing that he'd have another chance in Australia. Meantime, the whole world's interest in the Olympics would be whetted.

Suppose we extend an invitation and the Russians refuse? Our gesture can't help but appear to the eyes of the world as the friendly and hospitable gesture that it would be. The world liked our invitation to Russian farmers. It would pay even more attention to a bid to Russian athletes.

And as for those 70,000 empty seats? GIA-RDP80R01731R000490480003:7a gnatthat day in the Memorial Coliseum.

OCEANSIDE UP -The Oceanside Blade-Tribune suggested editorially today that the U.S. Olympic Committee invite the Russian Olympic team to compete against the U.S. Olympic team in a dual meet in Los Angeles Memorial Coliseum sometime between Oct.

15 and Nov. 5.

The Blade-Tribune suggested that the receipts from the meet

used to pay the extra Russia

expenses for the additional 6,000 miles they would have to fly to stop over at Los Angeles en route from Moscow to Melbourne.

go into the Olympic fund and be.

will have to beat in the 1956 games in Melbourne. And the Russian athletes know that the team they ll have to bear in order to top the games will be the team from the United States, the editorial said.

**As for foreign policy, we think such an invitation would mean far more to the average man in this world than the Russians, recent invitation to General Twining, ,, the editorial added.

Approved For Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000400480003-7